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## A Word from the Editors

**Joseph Peters, author of From Time Immemorial in a rare interview:**

**Israel Sovereignty is indispensable in light of the Arab fraud**

**Rav Baruch Efrati**

**Former MK Ayoob Kara**

**Prof. Ehrain Tibrar**

**A Palestinian state - it simply does not work**

**Rav Dvir Raviv**

**Amiram Zitoun**

**We made every possible mistake, one after another**

**Former MK Ayud Kura**

**Jordan was designated to be Palestine. Why should there be any other solution?**

**Rav Baruch Efrat**

**It is the obligation of each person in Israel to ask himself, every day, what he did today for the Land of Israel.**

## Letters to the Editor

**Letters from our Readers:**

**Jews of the World Join the Call for Sovereignty to PRIME MINISTER BENJAMIN NETANYAHU AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE STATE OF ISRAEL:**

> The interview was postponed until his fate overtook him and the bitter news reached us that Uri, z”l, was taken from us. He who held the arrow and showed the way is no longer with us, but those who walk in this way are still with us. In his memory, we present the personal words of his son, Rav Itai Elitzur, in honor of the issue that is dedicated to his late father.

**The interview was postponed until his fate overtook him and the bitter news reached us that Uri, z”l, was taken from us. He who held the arrow and showed the way is no longer with us, but those who walk in this way are still with us. In his memory, we present the personal words of his son, Rav Itai Elitzur, in honor of the issue that is dedicated to his late father.**

**Editors’ note:**

The positions brought in the journal, in interviews and articles with the hope and faith that our full writings will eventually be collected and included in the book, are the personal views of the particular writer, and do not necessarily represent the opinions of the editorial staff. The Sovereignty platform is a platform for presenting the vision of sovereignty. This is obvious and difficult to argue against this.

**Letters to the Editor**

**Application of Sovereignty - not Annexation**

People on the right call for annexing Judea and Samaria or some areas within it. I say we need to do away with the term ‘annexation’ and cease the political lexicon of the Right. When we assert our sovereignty in Judea and Samaria, it is not annexation at all, but the official declaration of Israeli sovereignty.

**Civil Rights for Jews**

I am attending the Sovereignty conference you organized in Eliafi and want to congratulate you on your wonderful work. I would like to point out something regarding sovereignty in Area C – one important reason for applying sovereignty that I missed hearing about during the conference – the fact the Jewish residents of Judea and Samaria have been living under military rule for more than 45 years! This is in itself unfair and unreasonable, and it is really a basic human right of hundreds of thousands of Jewish residents.

*Signed in Toronto, Canada, September 2, 2014*
Minister Katz: We will reach the Goal of Sovereignty through Jerusalem

He is defined as the government’s bulldozer and, along with the changes in traffic patterns that he causes in Israel’s roads, he also promotes the establishment of ‘Greater Jerusalem’, which will include Gush Etzion, Ma’ale Adumin and more. In his view, it will be difficult to find opposition to this plan, and afterwards, the map will look entirely different. An interview with Minister Yisrael Katz.

Minister of Transportation, Yisrael Katz is a likely member who is known for taking hard and presenting clear positions. For example, he was outspoken against the release of terrorists while other ministers remained silent. Today, while other Likud members are busy minimizing the damage from Oslo and putting out fires that the Left has ignited, Minister Katz again presents a clear position on the matter of sovereignty. Naming that Israeli sovereignty in Judea and Samaria is the plan that must be placed on the table, and it should be done openly.

Minister Katz supports the idea of applying gradual sovereignty, first and foremost over area C, and even beyond this. Contrary to Bennett’s plan, Katz believes it is possible to apply sovereignty over the territory, even without including within it the Arabs who live there. A member of this position argues that even application of sovereignty over Area C is a good step,” he says and notes no reason that it should be done openly.
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Sovereignty is on the Way
The Laws are Already on the Table

While there are many people who talk, making declarations and statements, ten laws of sovereignty relating to ten different areas in Judea and Samaria are already on the table. Behind this process stand the heads of the Land of Israel Lobby, MKs Orit Struk and Yair Levin. Could we be coming closer to the implementation of sovereignty?

We have divided the areas of settlement into ten different areas that can be called blocs, but contrary to the accepted view of blocs, ‘blocs of settlement’, which usually signifies that some of the settlements are included and some are excluded, the blocs in our proposal include all of the communities and also the areas between them.

“We talk about the fact that, among other things, there is an Arab population in these areas, about whom the dry data tell us their numbers, but not the demographic balance of the Land of Israel significantly. There are between fifty and seventy thou-
sand people, members that essentially do not change the demographic balance, which continues to improve with the years. In every area where we decide to promote legislation, it means that Israeli sover-
ignty will be applied there.”

In the law regarding Hebron, it is written: “The laws of sovereignty are actually in -

The laws of sovereignty are already on the table in the Knesset. Behind this process stand the heads of the Land of Israel Lobby, MKs Orit Struk and Yair Levin. Could we be coming closer to the implementation of sovereignty? members of the Land of Israel Lobby in the Knesset, have prepared ten laws for applying sovereignty over ten different areas in Judea and Samaria. We be-

The laws are Already on the Table

The laws of sovereignty are on the table in the Knesset, have prepared ten laws for applying sovereignty over ten different areas in Judea and Samaria. We believe that it is a gradual process that must renew its political freedom within it. Because from the demographic point of view, it is insignificant and from the point of view of benefit, there is great value to the communities that people have been living in this land for four generations beyond the fact that these areas are the cradles of Jewish culture.

And what will happen regarding Areas A and B? Have you given them up?

As we understand that the process is gradual, and at its moment, the process regarding Areas A and logical and realistic compared with the other areas that are still far from amenable at this point. But history is in the same manner evolving and we are not giving up these areas, but rather, progressing and planning an easier one step by step.”

The journalist Caroline Gluck claims that applying sovereignty in part of the areas is like paying full price for half of the goods. “Because we would have to abandon an investment in any case – it would be better to apply sover-

The Oslo Accords were a very severe deviation from the rising path of Zionism, but now there is disillusionment with the Oslo Accords and now is the time to return to the classic path of Zionism. We came to the Land of Israel to apply Israeli sovereignty over it and to establish within, it a Jewish state in its entire territory, gradually.

If we look at the issue purely from an economic point of view, we will understand that we are obligated by the reality to apply sovereignty - it is the only solution that will grant a better quality of life to the Arabs and the Jews alike.
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While there are many people who talk, making declarations and statements, ten laws of sovereignty relating to ten different areas in Judea and Samaria are already on the table. Behind this process stand the heads of the Land of Israel Lobby, MKs Orit Struk and Yair Levin. Could we be coming closer to the implementation of sovereignty?
A very interesting document, written by the International Crisis Group which includes 150 former researchers and politicians from the world over, analyses the national-religious public in Israel. If we look into those years that will pass until the end of the process of amnestiation, the very small number of remaining Arabs in Jerusalem who manage to make use of their right as citizens to vote, the relative lack of proportionate voices of groups among the population of Israel over 50 years after their amnestiation, the prediction that the number of them will be flooded with masses of Arab MICS does not seem realistic. In general, one could say that the number of Arabs in Judea and Samaria is approximately the same as the number of Arabs in Israel, and therefore, if today the Arab electorate in Israel is represented by 10 MICS, then in the (distant) and of the process of amnestiation the number would come, perhaps, to 7. (Mishor Rokhon, Tammuz, 2015)

Enlightening encouragement

Forced expulsion, war or without compensation, is not relevant in the Palestinian case. It was not a moral issue, not ethical in practice. Fortunately for us there is no need to do this; it is enough to encourage emigration by economic and promotional means. Even before we begin, polls and research have shown that about forty percent of the people in the area of the Abu Mazens, all of them are only interested in a new land and encourage them to follow them. This will not happen overnight, but within the next ten years it is decisively possible to arrive at a significant dilution of the Arab presence in Judaea and Samaria, and not only there. Until then we have literally immersed much blood and money in order to build on the mountain edge on our hand, and on the other hand we have allocated great resources to create a ter- rain of equal or better quality. If only we can divert a small part of those resources for the purpose of en- couraging emigration, we would make much better results. (Mishor Rokhon, 14 July, 2014)
MK Eli Yishai (Shas) suggests that we all read Fatah’s platform before we conclude that it is more moderate than Hamas and only then decide whether there is someone to talk to. “Rabbi Ovadia Yoseph warned about missiles in Ashkelon and asked the members of Likud to object to the uprising, but Sharon did not listen.”

MK Eli Yishai of the Shas movement calls for the government to declare the Oslo Accords a disaster in order to break free of them and their ramifications. “For the citizens of Israel there is no difference between Hamas, Hezb’Allah and ISIS. The three of them are terror organizations that want to see the end of Israel, and the Oslo Accords have served and will serve this goal,” he said after security forces revealed the Hamas groundwork being laid to take over the Palestinian Authority. “I am not one of those people who do not object to dividing the Land. But then again, if what I am willing will not work, it is impossible to compromise with Hamas!” Prof. Efraim Inbar, head of the Begin-Sadat Center, examines the feasibility of a Palestinian state, he does this with a cold scapello and comes to a clear conclusion: even if we wanted it, it simply would not work.

When Prof. Efraim Inbar, head of the Begin-Sadat Center, examines the feasibility of a Palestinian state, he does this with a cold scapello and comes to a clear conclusion: even if we wanted it, it simply would not work.

The Pan-Arab idea gnaws away at the structure of the state because it claims that the Arab world must be composed of one political unit.

The arrangement was not ‘land for peace’ but ‘land for security’ with the expectation that the Palestinians would behave in a certain way and that this Hamas is a bad entity for them? Meanwhile they are doing these experiments. “It could be that things work, but it could be that they don’t. There are no such identities, and this is what we see in the Campo di Prato, in Gaza. It is impossible to place our security in the hands of such a group. It is impossible to place our security in the hands of such a group. This problem is not unique to this group. We do not bury our heads in the sand?”

The Pan-Arab idea gnaws away at the structure of the state because it claims that the Arab world must be composed of one political unit.

Khaled bin Sultan argues against missiles in Ashkelon and asked the members of Likud to object to the uprising, but Sharon did not listen.”
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All at almost every junction in its path, the State of Israel made every possible mistake, each one of which contributed further and further to the poverty that ensues. In his recent article, Prof. Avraham Zion, head of the Center for Law and Communications at the University of Haifa, is convinced of this.

It is an interesting discussion of this series of mistakes with the Six Day War, when “very many Arabs from Judea and Samaria left Judea and Jerusalem and went in the direction of Jordan and we brought them back. Moshe Dayan called for them to come back and even stopped them at Allenby Bridge so that they would not cross the border. There was a flow of refugees to Jordan and we stopped them. We told them ‘don’t go, we will not harm you’ and we should not have done this. We did not return. The Arab who said to us ‘don’t harm us or we will not go’? All the rest of this, we did not expend. They left voluntarily, he emphasizes.

The next mistake. Prof. Zion counts occurred a short time after the Six Day War. “We allowed an exaggerated niceness at the expense of our future, because if another two hundred thousand of our future, because if another two hundred thousand of our people, one, who defines himself as Arab and another who defines himself as Palestinian, we know that this land has been called Palestine for a long time. Why, the Romans and the Goedde have been there for thousands of years. For the Swedish people who were there, what was more important, than time? We did not implement the Oslo Accords, but because we signed the Oslo Accords. The current degree of anti-Semitism in the world has not existed since the 1920s World War.”

“Af the Six Day War we turned to Scan- diavina,” Zion remembers. “They received me like a king. At that time we were heard, the underdogs, the unfortunate, who were in dire straits, who were threatened with violence, and with that we received the whole idea of peace with the Arabs. This is what caused the anti-Semitism to increase. We thought we were doing the right thing, we were not to go to war, because we did not implement the Oslo Accords, but because we signed the Oslo Accords.”

The greatest disaster that has ever happened to the Jewish People since the establishment of the State of Israel is the Oslo Accords.

They wanted to leave, Why did we prevent them?

Prof. Zion was Dayan as the antith- esis to the Jewish and Democratic State that stereotypes and feels, that the State of Israel does not accept an attempted explanation of the act as an ideological error that per- haps existed at the time, between the areas of the State of Israel and the SDI. During that time, all their hath led the men of the country, good and bad. We turn to which of the Arab World, was called to control the Temple Mount to the Waqf and ending with the greatest disaster of all, the Oslo Accords.

In Syria, Lebanon or Egypt no Jew rises to any kind of high office. In Saudi Arabia, which is not Muslim cannot enter the country. This is not rarely. And we dare to accuse of apartheid, though we have multiple races here and more freedom of religion and democracy than the US.

Abu Mazen is much more more dangerous than Hanany and Hamas. Abu Mazen acts in a way that the world understands and accepts. He speaks in the language of the world and wants to make peace with the world and the US. They accuse us of apartheid, though we have multiple races here and more freedom of religion and democracy than the US.

We have no hasbara and they have propaganda
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These days, former MK Ayoub Kara is establishing a task force in the battle against Muslim radicalization. Acceptance of the seven Noahide laws is essential for normal life in the area. This is the message that he brings, also to the residents of Gaza, and yes, he has something to say about the need for sovereignty.

"Jordan was designated to be Palestine. Why should there be any other solution?"

When we speak about concessions we are playing into these radicals’ hands who are taking over more and more territory from this holy Land. When we gave up Gush Katif we got terror bases and if we give up more territory we will find even more radical organizations there.

The idea of two states for two peoples is fading away. The subject of establishing a Palestinian state is being dropped from the agenda. The world sees radicalization against every religion in the area. We see that Christians are disappearing from Iraq and Syria, just as it is with the Druze, the Yazidis, the Bahais and the Turkmens as well as other sects and ethnicities who find themselves being exterminated. This thing has no connection to terrorism in the Middle East. This is ethnic cleansing of anyone who is not Muslim and this thing might lead us to a third world war with every indication that this would not be limited and would continue on in the direction of Europe.

Kara’s expectation stems from, among other things, data that indicates unprecedented strengthening of Islam in Europe. He mentions recently published data, according to which the most popular name for babies in England in the year 2013 is Muslimah. In Brussels, the day is approaching when a Muslim mayor will be elected, and in Paris there are neighborhoods that the police cannot enter. It is important that the leaders of the political democratic associations do their best that we stop playing into their hands.

He also understands that Israeli presence on the Palestinian territories is the main objective of the political planning of the Arab world. As part of the effort to show the spread of radical Islam, Kara is establishing a new task force. We are various organizations. Chabad among them, and establish a task force whose function is to assure moderate Islam, which understand that radical Islam is a barrier and cruel enemy for us. We have to guard the borders of Jordan better than we guard the borders of Syria. The poor of your city come first. I must guard the lives of my children and if they throw a bomb or a rocket at me I must respond with rockets. Indeed, we do not want to fight but if someone wants to fight with us, we will fire ten times harder without checking who is innocent, just as they did not search and did not check who is innocent among us before they shot us.

As mentioned, Kara is concerned that the idea of two states for two peoples is fading in light of the changes occurring in the Middle East, and nevertheless, even he finds it difficult to present his alternative view. "That which is, is that which will be. We must apply Israeli law over all areas where there is Jewish settlement, lose the IDF to remain on the Jordan and get back to the plan where all of the Arab residents in Judea and Samaria will be Jordanian residents." In his opinion there is no need to extend the conversation about this and it is an unhappy situation. The mere act of speaking, he is concerned, leads to unnecessary political weakness. "We must say here and not give an inch. There is a religious argument here and when we speak about concessions we are playing into these radicals’ hands who are taking over more and more territory from this holy Land. When we gave up Gush Katif we got terror bases and if we give up more territory we will find even more radical terrorist organizations there.

Kara does not feel the need to find a partner for his idea of joining the Arabs of Judea and Samaria with the Jordanian kingdom, east of the Jordan River. "I do not need a partner. I must suit myself to my own needs. We did not occupy Palestine. The area was conquered from Jordan. And perhaps the king of Jordan will take back those citizens. Why 90 percent of them are Palestinians. We must solve the problem with him. I very much admire the Jordanian king and we must be in contact with him but the king of your city can come first. I am ready to turn the wheel back and give the king of Jordan civil control of his citizens while I keep control of security. He will not be able to guard the borders of Jordan better than we can. He also understands that Israeli presence on the Jordan River is good for him."

"Jordan is actually Palestine. Why must there be another state? Jordan was intended to be a Palestinian state and the king himself a Palestinian. Why should we search for other solutions? Because of delusions of peace, we got the Oslo Accords. The flight from southern Lebanon, the withdrawal from Gush Katif.

When we talk about concessions we are playing into these radicals’ hands who are taking over and more territory from this holy Land. When we gave up Gush Katif we got terror bases and if we give up more territory we will find even more radical terrorist organizations there.
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Kara does not feel the need to find a partner for his idea of joining the Arabs of Judea and Samaria with the Jordanian kingdom, east of the Jordan River. "I do not need a partner. I must suit myself to my own needs. We did not occupy Palestine. The area was conquered from Jordan. And perhaps the king of Jordan will take back those citizens. Why 90 percent of them are Palestinians. We must solve the problem with him. I very much admire the Jordanian king and we must be in contact with him but the king of your city can come first. I am ready to turn the wheel back and give the king of Jordan civil control of his citizens while I keep control of security. He will not be able to guard the borders of Jordan better than we can. He also understands that Israeli presence on the Jordan River is good for him."

"Jordan is actually Palestine. Why must there be another state? Jordan was intended to be a Palestinian state and the king himself a Palestinian. Why should we search for other solutions? Because of delusions of peace, we got the Oslo Accords. The flight from southern Lebanon, the withdrawal from Gush Katif.

When we talk about concessions we are playing into these radicals’ hands who are taking over and more territory from this holy Land. When we gave up Gush Katif we got terror bases and if we give up more territory we will find even more radical terrorist organizations there.
first of all we must stop using the terms that have been invented for such as a Palestinian people and there is no Palestinian history here. These are refugees who came here within the past decades.

What is the vision and the goal that the Fatah group are regarding Judaea and Samaria? The goal is what the Torah clearly says and that is by hasbara, PR. Politics, in my opinion, is not the main decision makers to apply the law in Judea and Samaria and sovereignty.

What is the vision and the goal that the Torah commandment to conquer the Land and dwell in it. So many people have come and gone, it is the outline of the commandment to settle the Land.

What is the vision and the goal that the Torah commandment to conquer the Land and dwell in it. This is how it is with Israel. When it is sure of itself the non-Jews will fade away from here.

We believe that the Torah today’s value is not so much as a Palestinian person and there is no Palestinian history here. These are refugees who came here within the past decades. Whether the People of Israel is confused about its identity, the Almighty sends us messages to sharpen our sense of identity for us. What should we hope for regarding relations with the Arabs here?

Every person must ask himself every day what he has done for the Land of Israel. The problem is that as the years have gone by, the supporters of separation have not advanced a single step towards a Palestinian state is as correct today as it was then. Nothing has changed, except for Peres’ political career. (Makor Rishon, 27 Adar, 2011)

The time has come to stop being afraid of Sovereignty

There is a Handicapped story of a Jew who was thrown out of the car because it was his way out. Suddenly, just before he despised, he saw a man sitting under one of the trees. He ran and asked, “Do you go out of here?” And the person answered him: I also don’t know how to get out of here. But nevertheless, I can help with one thing. I have already tried the path that you are on, and it does not lead out of here. Let’s search for another way.

After all of the failures and disappointments, the Oslo way, the time has run come to try to break through another wall. The Oslo approach has already tried the unbelievable path of centrist agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states. No economic agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states. No economic agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states.

The problem is that as the years have gone by, the supporters of separation have not advanced a single step towards a Palestinian state.

We are tired of hearing about a Palestinian state to get some benefit for themselves. Give them peace without uprooting communities, and they will not take it. I am not sure anyone today that wants to approach the theme to achieve peace or if they want separation in order for peace. And the embarrassing thing is that, contrary to most of the fully know who, at most, 17% of those who remained from them are a black and white picture and umbrilum, ours is a dominating picture in a marvelous glory and splendid. All of the finest cinnamones, the red carpets, the flags waving in the wind, the politicians from all over the Western world who came to be photographed with our pain. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for themselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves.

After all of the failures and disappointments, the Oslo way, the time has run come to try to break through another wall. The Oslo approach has already tried the unbelievable path of centrist agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states.

The problem is that as the years have gone by, the supporters of separation have not advanced a single step towards a Palestinian state to get some benefit for themselves. Give them peace without uprooting communities, and they will not take it. I am not sure anyone today that wants to approach the theme to achieve peace or if they want separation in order for peace. And the embarrassing thing is that, contrary to most of the fully know who, at most, 17% of those who remained from them are a black and white picture and umbrilum, ours is a dominating picture in a marvelous glory and splendid. All of the finest cinnamones, the red carpets, the flags waving in the wind, the politicians from all over the Western world who came to be photographed with our pain. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for themselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves.

First of all we must stop using the terms that have been invented for such as a Palestinian people and there is no Palestinian history here. These are refugees who came here within the past decades.

A Palestinian state will shower with a rain of Katyushas on Petah Tikvah and Gush Etzion and with streams of violence. (Makor Rishon, 6 Adar 1, 2014)

What are the supporters of Separatism really want?

The agreement into practice. The number one of the trees. He ran and asked, “Do you go out of here?” And the person answered him: I also don’t know how to get out of here. But nevertheless, I can help with one thing. I have already tried the path that you are on, and it does not lead out of here. Let’s search for another way.

After all of the failures and disappointments, the Oslo way, the time has run come to try to break through another wall. The Oslo approach has already tried the unbelievable path of centrist agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states. No economic agreements, from the White House, via a Nobel Peace Prize for Yasser Arafat, to economic agreements for the two states.

The problem is that as the years have gone by, the supporters of separation have not advanced a single step towards a Palestinian state to get some benefit for themselves. Give them peace without uprooting communities, and they will not take it. I am not sure anyone today that wants to approach the theme to achieve peace or if they want separation in order for peace. And the embarrassing thing is that, contrary to most of the fully know who, at most, 17% of those who remained from them are a black and white picture and umbrilum, ours is a dominating picture in a marvelous glory and splendid. All of the finest cinnamones, the red carpets, the flags waving in the wind, the politicians from all over the Western world who came to be photographed with our pain. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for themselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves. All of the opposite, no opportunity, no opportunity to say to get some benefit for yourselves.
There is Jewish History Concealed in every Valley and every Hill in Judea and Samaria; in every Cave or Tel, one can find evidence that links us in a bond that extends over time to our past. So why do we allow the antiquities thieves to do as they please? They are Stealing our Sovereignty and application of Israeli law in the field will transfer the responsibility for stopping the phenomenon of Arab theft of antiquities to the Antiquities Authority, which has many resources. Perhaps they will know how to deal with it better.
In the beginning of the month of Tammuz (July), members from the Women in Green movement planted yet another stake in the Land, as they have done many times in recent years. Following the establishment of Netzer, Sludema and other sites, the movement established a tourist site, Oz veGa'on, on the hill above the Gush Etzion Junction.

It was on the night it was learned of the murder of the three youths, Gil-Ad Sha’ar, Ayal Yifrach and Naftali Frenkel (Ga’on), ז’’ט, the activists quickly organized themselves for an ascent to the hill near the hitchhiking station from which the three were abducted.

Over the next few days the hill was the subject of widespread public attention. The heads of the Gush Etzion Council and residents of Judea joined Women in Green, offering help and logistical support; public figures such as MKs Ze’ev Elkin, Orit Struk, Shuli Muallem and others, as well as rabbis of the area, came to strengthen both the spirits and the hands of those who came up to the hill.

On the hill, which had been neglected for many years, work began. The heads of Women in Green, Yehudit Katsover and Nadia Matar, welcomed the Zionist Mi-Frenkel (Ga’on), ז’’ט, and hundreds of supporters from communities in the region. The Committee of Foreign Affairs and Defense MK Ze’ev Elkin, father of Ayal, H”YD, spoke about his sense during the fifty days that passed since the abduction, and the days of the IDF’s fighting in the Gaza Strip.

Recently an inspirational night of song and slichtot took place with classmates of Gil-Ad and Naftali, H”YD, with the participation of Racheli Frenkel, mother of Naftali, H”YD, who expressed a sense of spiritual elevation because of the way the name of her son Naftali, Ayal and Gil-Ad were memorialized. “We want to see a silver lining in the cloud and this place is so sweet and so much like our delightful children,” she said, very emotionally and added that the indeed does not know how to console “someone who changed his form” as she defines it, but in this place, so near to Gush Etzion Junction, they “feel so at home” and they have consolation from the memorial being in this place, she said, and expressed her hope for the continued development and growth of the site.

As mentioned, the site is intended to become a center for tourism that will include tours in the area, camping and activities together with a compound in which educational and cultural events as well as celebrations will be held. Among the first celebrations to be held at Oz veGa’on was the brit milah of the son of David and Alona Brenner, residents of Elazar. The Brenner family invited their guests, family members and the ritual circumciser to the hill where they held an emotionally moving ceremony. The baby’s name, which has the merit of becoming part of the renewed history of Gush Etzion, is ‘Oz, Michael’.

“The nature reserve was established to continue the life in Israel, to give the breath of life and continual Jewish presence in an additional location in Gush Etzion. Therefore it was natural for the family to hold the circumcision of their first son here, at the Oz veGa’on Reserve, and to call their baby Oz Michael,” explain the heads of Women in Green, Yehudit Katsover and Nadia Matar, who note that “in this event, the blessing ‘my life is in your blood’ receives a double meaning.”

These days Katsover and Matar continue the momentum of activity that will make the compound into a ‘natural site for events in commemoration of the sons because it is a symbol of the unity that the People of Israel discovered in the days of the searches and the concern for their safety.’ The two women add, “The People of Israel discovered a sense of rare spiritual elevation in the days of the searches for the youths, H”YD, and in the days of the fighting in the South. Many among the People did not believe that under the veil of cynicism that we all have, there exists a wonderful core of Zionist values. Fighters and officers testified in the days after the war how much this cohesion and unifying spirit helped lead them to victory against the terrorists of Hamas.”

In MK Elkin’s visit to the hill, he expressed the hope that the leadership would be worthy of this wonderful people, a People that knows how to stand up to the dangers and to sacrifice for the sake of its future.